

Recall a relation  $R$  on a set  $A$  is defined as a subset of  $A \times A$ :  $R \subseteq A \times A$

An equivalence relation  $R$  is one that satisfies the 3 properties:

1)  $\forall x \in A, x R x$  ~~transitive~~ reflexivity

2)  $\forall x, y \in A, x R y \rightarrow y R x$  symmetry

3)  $\forall x, y, z \in A, (x R y \wedge y R z) \rightarrow x R z$  transitivity

We looked at how to check/verify these properties

Ex: let  $A = \mathbb{R}$  and  $R = \{(a, b) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a - b = 2k\pi\}$

1) Reflexivity:  $a - a = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 \cdot \pi \checkmark \quad 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $\Rightarrow (a, a) \in R \Rightarrow R$  reflexive

2) Symmetry: suppose  $(a, b) \in R$   
 $\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } a - b = 2k\pi$   
 $\Rightarrow b - a = -2k\pi = 2(-k)\pi$   
 $\Rightarrow -k \in \mathbb{Z}$   
 $\Rightarrow (b, a) \in R \Rightarrow R$  symmetric

3) if  $(a, b) \in R$  and  $(b, c) \in R$ ,  $\exists k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.

~~or~~  $a - b = 2k\pi$

$b - c = 2n\pi$

Adding

$$\Rightarrow a - c = 2k\pi + 2n\pi = 2(k+n)\pi$$

with  $(k+n) \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow (a, c) \in R$

$\Rightarrow R$  transitive

Ex  $R = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \}$

Let  $R$  be the set of ordered pairs  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$  such that when  $x$  and  $y$  are represented by fractions in lowest terms, those fractions have the same denominator.

Proof

Let  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Q}$

$$\Rightarrow \exists m, n, p, q, j, k \text{ s.t. } \begin{aligned} \gcd(m, n) &= 1 \\ \gcd(p, q) &= 1 \\ \gcd(j, k) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$x = \frac{m}{n} \quad y = \frac{p}{q} \quad z = \frac{j}{k}$$

$\Rightarrow$  clearly  $n = n$  so  $R$  is reflexive

Suppose  $(x, y) \in R$ , then  $n = q \rightarrow q = n$  so  $(y, x) \in R$   
so  $R$  is symmetric

Suppose  $(x, y) \in R$  and  $(y, z) \in R$

$$\Rightarrow n = q \text{ and } q = k$$

$$\Rightarrow n = k \Rightarrow (x, z) \in R$$

and  $R$  is transitive.

$$\mathbb{Z}^x = \{(x, 1) \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}\}$$

We defined Congruence modulo  $n$

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n \text{ divides } a-b$$

$$\iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$nk = a-b$$

And showed that

Congruence mod  $n$  defined an equivalence relation

We defined equivalence classes as the set of all elements related to an element  $a$  [the equivalence class of  $a$ ]

$$[a]_{\mathbb{R}} = \{s \mid (a, s) \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

if  $b \in [a]_{\mathbb{R}}$  then  $b$  is called the representative of the equivalence class.

For congruence classes (the equivalence classes for congruence mod  $n$ ) partition  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The set of all congruence classes is denoted  $\mathbb{Z}_n$

Fact There are exactly  $n$  equivalence classes mod  $n$

$$[0], [1], \dots, [n-1]$$

The set of least residues (for fixed  $n$ ) is defined by  $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ . Every element in the set of least residues attached to one of the equivalence classes.

# Closure of Relations

## Reflexive Closure

$$\forall x \in A$$

$$\forall x, y \in S, x \circ y \in S$$

Consider a relation

$$R = \{(1,1), (2,2), (2,3)\} \text{ on set } A = \{1,2,3\}$$

$\Rightarrow R$  not reflexive

$$(3 \in A \text{ but } 3 \notin R \Leftrightarrow (3,3) \notin R)$$

$\Rightarrow$  Smallest reflexive relation that contains  $R$  must include ordered pair  $(3,3)$ .

$$\Rightarrow R_r^+ = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (2,3)\}$$

Def The reflexive closure of binary relation  $R$  on a set  $A$  is the smallest reflexive relation on  $A$  that contains  $R$ .

$$R_r^+ = R \cup \{(a,a) \mid a \in A\}$$

Symmetric Closure of a binary relation on a set  $A$

is the smallest symmetric relation on set  $A$  that contains  $R$

$$R_s^+ = R \cup \{(b,a) \mid (a,b) \in R\}$$

$$\text{Ex: } R = \{(0,1), (1,1), (1,2), (2,0), (2,2), (3,0)\} \quad A = \{0,1,2,3\}$$

$$\Rightarrow (1,0), (2,2), (2,1), (0,3)$$

$$R_s^+ = R \cup \{(1,0), (2,1), (0,2), (0,3)\}$$

Transitive Closure of a binary relation  $R$  on a set  $A$

is the smallest transitive relation on  $A$  that contains  $R$

$$R_t^+ = R \cup \{(a, c) \mid (a, b) \in R \text{ and } (b, c) \in R\}$$

Ex  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$   $R = \{(1, 1), (2, 3), (3, 1)\}$

$$R_t^+ = R \cup \{(2, 1)\}$$

Def A relation  $R$  on set  $A$  is a partial ordering if

it is 1) reflexive  $\forall x \in A, x R x$

2) antisymmetric  $\forall x, y \in A, (x R y \text{ and } y R x) \rightarrow x = y$

3) transitive  $\forall x, y, z \in A, (x R y \wedge y R z) \rightarrow x R z$

A set together with a partial ordering  $R$  is called a partially ordered set (or poset) and denoted  $(A, R)$

Ex:  $\mathbb{Z}, \geq$

$\mathbb{Z}_{+1} \mid$

Linear Congruence

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

How to solve?

1)  $a$  is invertible mod  $n$  iff  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$

$$\Rightarrow ax + ny = 1 \Leftrightarrow ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

$\uparrow$   
 $x$  is  $a^{-1}$

$$\Rightarrow ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

So might as well call  $x$   $a^{-1}$

2)  $ca \equiv cb \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{\frac{n}{\gcd(c, n)}}$

i.e. we can cancel the  $c$  but we don't end in the same modular space

3)  $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$  has a solution iff  $\gcd(a, n) \mid b$

4) if  ~~$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$~~   $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$  has a soluh

i.e.  $\gcd(a, n) \mid b$

then there are  $\gcd(a, n)$  solutions  
separated by  $\frac{n}{\gcd(a, n)}$

Ex Solve  $12x \equiv 16 \pmod{32}$

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

1)

Is the  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ ?

$$\gcd(12, 32) = 4 \neq 1$$

$\Rightarrow$  Cannot just find multiplicative inverse  $x = a^{-1}$

2) Does  $\gcd(a, n) \mid b$ ?

$$\gcd(12, 32) = 4 \text{ and } 4 \mid 16$$

$\Rightarrow$  There is a solution

3)

$\Rightarrow$  There are  $\gcd(a, n) = \gcd(12, 32) = 4$  solutions

$$\text{Separated by } \frac{n}{\gcd(a, n)} = \frac{32}{4} = 8$$

To solve, factor out a

$$4(3x) \equiv 4 \cdot 4 \pmod{32}$$

$$2) \quad 3x \equiv 4 \pmod{\frac{32}{\gcd(32, 4)}}$$

$$3x \equiv 4 \pmod{\left(\frac{32}{4}\right)} \Leftrightarrow 3x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$$

$\Rightarrow$  1) is  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ ?

$$\gcd(3, 8) = 1$$

$\Rightarrow$  3 has an inverse modulo 8

Can use extended

Euclidean algorithm to find the inverse, but can also guess since 8 small

$$3 \times 2 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

$$3 \times 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$$

Ex 2014C  
 $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$

$3 \times 2 = 6$  which is not congruent to  $1 \pmod{8}$

$3 \times 3 = 9$  which is congruent to  $1 \pmod{8}$

$\Rightarrow 3 \times (3x \equiv 4 \pmod{8})$

$x \equiv 12 \pmod{8}$

$x \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$

$x \equiv 4 \pmod{32}$

$x \equiv 12 \pmod{32}$

$x \equiv 20 \pmod{32}$

$x \equiv 28 \pmod{32}$

} our four solutions

Inverses mod n

Q: - for which  $n$  and  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ?

Ex  $n=9$

0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8

These are mutual residues mod 9

1 has an inverse mod 9 since

$1 \cdot 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$

$\Rightarrow$  always has

an inverse



## Inverses Mod $n$

When can we find  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ ?

Ex  $n=9$ ,  $0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$  are minimal residues modulo 9

1 has an inverse mod 9 b/c

$$1 \cdot 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \Rightarrow 1 \text{ will always have an inverse mod } n \text{ for any } n \Rightarrow 1^{-1} = 1$$

if we multiply 2 by any of the residues do we ever get 1?

$$2 \times 3 = 6 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

$$2 \times 4 = 8 \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

$$2 \times 5 = 10 \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \Rightarrow 2^{-1} \equiv 5 \pmod{9} \Rightarrow 5^{-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{9}$$

$$3 \Rightarrow 3 \times 0 \text{ no, } 3 \times 1 \text{ no, } 3 \times 2, 3 \times 3, 3 \times 4$$

$$\text{not } \equiv 1 \pmod{9}$$

$$\Rightarrow 3x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9} \text{ for all } x$$

$$\Rightarrow 3, 6 \text{ do not have inverses}$$

$$4 \Rightarrow 4 \times 4 \text{ no, } 4 \times 5 \text{ no, } \dots, 4 \times 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \Rightarrow 4^{-1} \equiv 7 \pmod{9}$$
$$7^{-1} \equiv 4 \pmod{9}$$

$$6 \Rightarrow 6x \not\equiv 1 \pmod{9} \text{ for all } x$$

$$8 \Rightarrow 8 \cdot 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{9} \Rightarrow 8^{-1} = 8 \Rightarrow \text{All have inverses, all relatively prime to } 9$$

$$\cancel{0}, \underline{1}, \underline{2}, \cancel{3}, \underline{4}, \underline{5}, \cancel{6}, \underline{7}, \cancel{8}$$

prop  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  is invertible (mod  $n$ ) iff  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$

proof  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $a$  invertible mod  $n$   
Forward  
Direct  $\Rightarrow \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $a \cdot b \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

$$\Rightarrow n \mid (ab - 1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists k \text{ s.t. } nk = ab - 1$$

$$\Rightarrow ab - nk = 1$$

but from before, we know that  $ab - nk = l \cdot \gcd(a, n)$   
all linear combinations of  $a$  and  $n$  are multiples of the gcd

$$\Rightarrow ab - nk = l \cdot \gcd(a, n)$$

$$\Rightarrow \gcd(a, n) \mid 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \gcd(a, n) = 1$$

Suppose  $\gcd(a, n) = 1$ ,  $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.  $ax + ny = 1$

$$ax = 1 - ny \quad \text{which is the same as saying}$$

$$\Rightarrow ax \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

This number  $x$  that we get from the Extended Euclidean Algorithm

is the inverse of  $a$  modulo  $n$

$$x = a^{-1}$$

look at an example of finding modular inverses

Ex Find all inverse pairs (mod 20)

$\Rightarrow$  Everything relatively prime to 20

$\{1, 3, 7, 9, 11, 13, 17, 19\}$

$1^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$  (1 is always its own inverse)

$3 \times 7 = 21 \Rightarrow 3^{-1} \equiv 7 \pmod{20} \Leftrightarrow 7^{-1} \equiv 3 \pmod{20}$

$9 \times 9 = 81 \Rightarrow 9^{-1} \equiv 9 \pmod{20}$  (9 is its own inverse)

$11 \times 11 = 121 \Rightarrow 11^{-1} \equiv 11 \pmod{20}$

---

$19 \equiv -1 \pmod{20} \Rightarrow 19 \times 19 \equiv (-1)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$

$19^{-1} \equiv 19 \pmod{20}$

Trick: we can work

with negative numbers,

which simplify the arithmetic since they are smaller

$17 \equiv -3 \pmod{20} \Rightarrow$

$13 \equiv -7 \pmod{20} \Rightarrow$

$17 \times 13 \equiv (-3)(-7) \equiv 21 \equiv 1 \pmod{20}$

$\Rightarrow 13^{-1} \equiv 17 \pmod{20}$

13, 17 are inverse pairs

with a small  $n$ , possible to guess and check inverses

$$34^{-1} \pmod{143}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Use the fact that  $\exists x, y$  s.t.  $34x + 143y = \gcd(34, 143)$

i.e. we haven't checked that 34 and 143 are relatively

but we will see this along the calculation <sup>prime</sup>.

$$143 = 4 \times 34 + 7 \Rightarrow 7 = 143 - 4 \times 34$$

$$34 = 4 \times 7 + 6 \Rightarrow 6 = 34 - 4 \times 7 = \underline{34} - 4 \times (\underline{143} - 4 \times \underline{34})$$

$$7 = 1 \times 6 + 1 \Rightarrow 1 = 7 - 1 \times 6 = 17 \times \underline{34} - 4 \times \underline{143}$$

$$6 = 6 \cdot 1 + 0 \Rightarrow 1 = 5 \times \underline{143} - 21 \times \underline{34}$$

$$\gcd(143, 34) = 1$$

which is a requirement for inverse to exist

$$34(-21) = 1 - 5(143)$$

$$34(-21) = 1 - 5(143) \equiv 1 \pmod{143}$$

$$\Rightarrow 34^{-1} \equiv -21 \pmod{143}$$

If we don't want to deal with negative numbers, can add a multiple of 143

$$34^{-1} \equiv 122 \pmod{143}$$

## Chinese Remainder Theorem

Suppose  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$  w/  $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$

(Seq of natural numbers that are pairwise relatively prime)

and  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Given that setup, we have a system of linear congruences

$x \equiv b_1 \pmod{n_1}$  has a unique solution

$\vdots$  modulo  $n_1, \dots, n_k = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$

$x \equiv b_k \pmod{n_k}$

Proof here is constructive, meaning we will first

$$\text{let } N = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \dots \cdot n_k = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$$

$$N_i = N/n_i \quad (\text{prod of all little } n\text{'s except for } n_i)$$

Claim:  $\gcd(N_i, n_i) = 1$

<sup>Proof</sup> Sps  $d \mid n_i$  and  $d \mid N_i$ . Since all of the  $n_j$  relatively prime,  
 $d$  must divide one of the little  $n_j$ 's not  $n_i$

$$\Rightarrow d \mid n_j \text{ for } j \neq i$$

$$\Rightarrow d \mid \gcd(n_j, n_i) = 1 \Rightarrow d = 1$$

$\gcd(N_i, n_i) = 1 \Rightarrow N_i$  has an inverse modulo  $n_i$  ~~that is~~ called  $x_i$

Let  $x_i$  be  $N_i x_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$ . Possible b/c  $\gcd(n_i, n_i) = 1$

$$a) x_i N_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$$

$$b) x_i N_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_j} \text{ for } i \neq j$$

$$b/c \quad N_i \neq 1, n_i$$

$N_i$  was defined as the product of all 1.1.1k  $n_j$ 's except  $n_i$

$$N_i = \prod_{j \neq i} n_j$$

therefore  $N_i$  is a multiple of  $n_j$

$$\Rightarrow N_i \text{ is congruent to } 0 \pmod{n_j} \quad N_i \equiv 0 \pmod{n_j}$$

$$\text{Consider } x = x_1 N_1 b_1 + x_2 N_2 b_2 + \dots + x_k N_k b_k$$

modulo  $n_i$

$\Rightarrow$

every term where the subscript is not equal to  $i$  will be 0  $\pmod{n_i}$  and 1  $\pmod{n_i}$

$$x \equiv 0 + \dots + 0 + x_i N_i b_i + 0 + \dots + 0 \pmod{n_i}$$

but

$$x_i N_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n_i}$$

from a

$$\Rightarrow x \equiv b_i \pmod{n_i} \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

The  $N_i b_i$  are inverse pairs modulo  $n_i$ .

That is existence, what about uniqueness?

$$\text{Pf} \quad \text{Sp's } x, y \text{ are sol's } \Rightarrow x \equiv b_i \pmod{n_i} \quad y \equiv b_i \pmod{n_i}$$

$$\Rightarrow x - y \equiv 0 \pmod{n_i} \quad 1 \leq i \leq k$$

$$n_i \mid x - y \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \Rightarrow$$

$$x - y = c \cdot n_i$$

but  $n_i$  relatively prime  $\Rightarrow N \mid x - y \Rightarrow x = y$